



FACULTY FORUM ON ASSESSMENT

M*A*S*H

MOUNTAINEER ASSESSMENT STARTS HERE

MORNING REVEILLE

Welcome and Overview

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SGnZxcS7VKA>



GETTING DOWN TO BUSINESS

TECHNOLOGY LITERACY

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZPLkNd4kXKo&index=15&list=PLD6C8890CE26BFAB8>

Assessment Results

Assessment Scores

The *Northstar Digital Literacy Assessment Results* sheet provided the student score on the completed assessment written as a percentage. The following table represents the average percentage of overall student participant performance for the three assessment tools.

Course	Score Percentages Averaged		
	Using Email	Windows	Word
ACCT-2203-9082	77.16	78.90	80.95
ACCT-2203-9084	88.06	84.93	99.30
AGEC-1113-9044	88.40	89.49	88.50
CRIS-1133-9214	84.57	79.36	85.07
MATH-1613-9792	89.24	81.59	87.87
MCOMM-2113-9490	85.50	83.60	73.90
NURS-2218-9188	94.78	86.90	91.93
	<i>avg = 86.81</i>	<i>avg = 83.53</i>	<i>avg = 86.78</i>

Pass / No Pass Scores

In addition to the score percentages reported on the *Northstar Digital Literacy Assessment Results* sheet, "not a Passing Score" and "Passing Score" information was given. The following table represents the percentage of student participants who received a "pass" or "no pass"

Course	Pass / No Pass Results					
	Using Email		Windows		Word	
	Pass	No Pass	Pass	No Pass	Pass	No Pass
ACCT-2203-9082	5	7	6	6	5	5
ACCT-2203-9084	2	1	2	1	3	0
AGEC-1113-9044	7	3	7	3	7	3
CRIS-1133-9214	5	4	1	8	3	6
MATH-1613-9792	9	4	5	8	8	5
MCOMM-2113-9490	2	2	2	2	2	2
NURS-2218-9188	6	0	5	2	5	1
Total	36	21	28	30	33	22
Percentage Overall	63.15%	36.84%	48.27%	51.72%	60%	40%

Standards Showing Skills Needing Improvement

The *Northstar Digital Literacy Assessment Results* sheet, listed for the student participant the skills measured by the assessment that needed improvement based on how the student participant completed the task presented in the assessment. The table below lists the assessment, the skill needing improvement and the percentage of students whose score sheet indicated that improvement was needed. (Complete tabulations by course are located Appendix B)

Windows 7

Percent of total student participants whose assessment results as reported on the score sheet indicated they needed to improve the identified skill(s).

Skills Assessed (Windows 7)		
1.1.	Identify the operating system used by a computer	36%
1.2	Demonstrate knowledge of the Windows Start menu	17%
1.3	Identify drives on a computer	36%
1.4	Identify the help menu	8%
1.5	Use Search to locate a file	12%
1.6	Identify and demonstrate knowledge of basic office software program; identifying their corresponding file extensions	10%
1.7	Identify the desktop	40%
1.8	Identify the task bar	47%
1.9	Minimize and maximize windows	40%
1.10	Start programs	10%
1.11	Open, close and switch between windows	10%
1.12	Open files using appropriate programs	53%
1.13	Delete documents	22%
1.14	Shutdown, restart, and log off a computer	6%

Microsoft Word

Percent of total student participants whose assessment results as reported on the score sheet indicated they needed to improve the identified skill(s).

Skills Assessed (Microsoft Word)		
2.1	Open a document	4%
2.2	Identify the Ribbon	65%
2.3	Use Save As to save to a particular folder and name the document	31%
2.4	Identify file extensions	2%
2.5	Use Spelling and Grammar check	13%
2.6	Format the size, color and type of font	27%
2.7	Set single or double spacing	38%
2.8	Align text	13%
2.9	Use bullets and automatic numbering	7%
2.10	Use the Undo button	5%
2.11	Cut, copy and paste	33%
2.12	Set margins	4%
2.13	Select portrait or landscape	11%
2.14	Demonstrate knowledge of the differences between "Save" and "Save As" functions	7%
2.15	Print	11%
2.16	Save and close a document	7%

Using Email

Percent of total student participants whose assessment results as reported on the score sheet indicated they needed to improve the identified skill(s).

Skill Assessed (Using Email)		
3.1	Define email	18%
3.2	Tell the difference between a URL and an email address	42%
3.3	Register for a new email account	42%
3.4	Log into email	12%
3.5	Address an email and create an email message; then, Send an email	47%
3.6	Open an email and reply to all	32%
3.7	Forward an email	30%
3.8	Add an attachment to an email	14%
3.9	Open an attachment in an email	11%
3.10	Delete an email and retrieve an email from the trash	46%
3.11	Understand basics of email etiquette	56%
3.12	Use caution when opening an email from an unfamiliar source	16%
3.13	Sign out of email	2%
3.14	Define computer virus	11%
3.15	Avoid giving out personal information to unfamiliar people	26%
3.16	Identify and delete junk mail, including spam	44%
3.17	Be selective and cautious about forwarding email to large groups of people	14%

Summary

Three separate assessment tools covering technology literacy for *Windows 7*, *Microsoft Word*, and *Using Email* were given to student participants representing all six (6) of the academic divisions. Fifty-seven (57) students completed the *Using Email* assessment; fifty-eight (58) students completed the *Windows 7* assessment; and fifty-five (55) students completed the *Microsoft Word* assessment. The tabulated score sheets for the total group of student participants revealed that 63% of the students achieved a passing score on the skills assessed by the *Using Email* tool; 48% received a passing score on the *Windows 7* assessment tool; and 60% received passing scores on the *Microsoft WORD* assessment tool. Further analysis of the score sheets resulted in a list of specific skill sets for which students need improvement.

Recommendations

The results reported should be shared with Eastern's assessment committee, faculty teaching in the computer science department and the VPAA for further review. Further analysis of the results should be conducted to identify the student participants who have completed the CIS 1113, Computer Applications course and look for relevant correlations that may guide program and/or course improvement and for instructional needs impacting budget requests.

Regarding the assessment process itself, Eastern should consider either becoming a sponsor of the *Northstar Digital Literacy Assessment* group to take full advantage of the available resources, or Eastern should work with the computer information faculty to develop an Eastern Technology Assessment tool which would yield valid and reliable results going forward.

GETTING DOWN TO BUSINESS

INFORMATION LITERACY

<http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=Mash+TV+Episodes&FORM=RESTAB#view=detail&mid=694FD72418F8C8E5D05B694FD72418F8C8E5D05B>

Information Literacy Assessment
Administered February 12 – 27, 2015

PSY 2103, Developmental Psychology
Instructor: Katharyn A. Tackett
Points Possible: 100

Students Tested	29
Minimum Value	52.00
Maximum Value	92.00
Range	40.00
Average	71.86
Median	72.00
Standard Deviation	9.60
Variance	92.12

Grade Distribution	
Greater than 100	0
90 - 100	1
80 - 89	6
70 - 79	9
60 - 69	11
50 - 59	2
40 - 49	0
30 - 39	0
20 - 29	0
10 - 19	0
0 - 9	0
Less than 0	0

BIOL 2103, Environmental Science
Instructor: Pat Ratliff
Points Possible: 100

Students Tested	9
Minimum Value	56.00
Maximum Value	88.00
Range	32.00
Average	67.56
Median	64.00
Standard Deviation	9.88
Variance	97.58

Grade Distribution	
Greater than 100	0
90 - 100	0
80 - 89	1
70 - 79	2
60 - 69	5
50 - 59	1
40 - 49	0
30 - 39	0
20 - 29	0
10 - 19	0
0 - 9	0
Less than 0	0

MCOMM-1113, Intro to Mass Com
Instructor: Kristen Turner
Points Possible: 100

Students Tested	13
Minimum Value	60.00
Maximum Value	92.00
Range	32.00
Average	73.85
Median	72.00
Standard Deviation	8.96
Variance	80.28

Grade Distribution	
Greater than 100	0
90 - 100	1
80 - 89	3
70 - 79	5
60 - 69	4
50 - 59	0
40 - 49	0
30 - 39	0
20 - 29	0
10 - 19	0
0 - 9	0
Less than 0	0

CIS 1533, Intro to Spreadsheet
Instructor: Debbie Layton
Points Possible: 100

Students Tested	7
Minimum Value	64.00
Maximum Value	76.00
Range	12.00
Average	70.86
Median	72.00
Standard Deviation	5.11
Variance	26.12

Grade Distribution	
Greater than 100	0
90 - 100	0
80 - 89	0
70 - 79	4
60 - 69	3
50 - 59	0
40 - 49	0
30 - 39	0
20 - 29	0
10 - 19	0
0 - 9	0
Less than 0	0

NUTRIT 1203, Nutrition
Instructor: Julie Collins
Points Possible: 100

Students Tested	11
Minimum Value	68.00
Maximum Value	88.00
Range	20.00
Average	76.73
Median	76.00
Standard Deviation	6.34
Variance	40.20

Grade Distribution	
Greater than 100	0
90 - 100	0
80 - 89	4
70 - 79	6
60 - 69	1
50 - 59	0
40 - 49	0
30 - 39	0
20 - 29	0
10 - 19	0
0 - 9	0
Less than 0	0

Total Student Tested: 69
Average Score: 72

The background features a light gray color with a large, semi-transparent red cross in the center. Surrounding the cross are various faint, light gray icons including a graduation cap, a star, a building, a globe, and a person, suggesting an educational or professional context.

WORK BEGINS

CRITICAL THINKING

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MGZAA_q6CQE&list=PLD6C8890CE26BFAB8&index=18

Rating Criteria	Emerging		Developing		Mastering		NA	Score
Identification and explanation of issues	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated without clarification or description.		Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown.		Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Collection of information	Information taken from source(s) is insufficient to develop any analysis and synthesis.		Information taken from source(s) is insufficient to develop coherent analysis and synthesis		Information taken from source(s) is sufficient to develop a comprehensive analysis and synthesis.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Recognition of context and assumptions	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts before presenting a point of view.		Questions some assumptions. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa). Identifies several relevant contexts before presenting a point of view.		Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts before presenting a point of view.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Evaluation and synthesis of information	The evaluation of information is simplistic, obvious, or has limited relevance.		The evaluation of information is incomplete, not taking into account the complexities of an issue.		The evaluation of information is thorough, taking into account the complexities of an issue, while acknowledging limits and synthesizing other points of view.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Communicates own perspective, hypothesis or position	Position is clearly adopted with little consideration. Addresses a single view of the argument, failing to clarify the position relative to one's own. Fails to justify own opinion or hypothesis is unclear and simplistic.		Presents own position, which includes some original thinking, though inconsistently. Justifies own position without addressing other views or does so superficially. Position is generally clear, although gaps may exist.		Position demonstrates ownership. Appropriately identifies own position, drawing support from experience and information not from assigned sources. Justifies own view while integrating contrary interpretations. Hypothesis demonstrates sophisticated thought.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Explores alternative perspectives and their implications.	Gives no or limited exploration of alternative explanations.		Provides alternative explanations but is not complete or lacking in depth.		Alternative explanations are thoroughly explained and explored.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Conclusions and related outcomes	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences or implications) are oversimplified.		The evaluation of information is incomplete, not taking into account the complexities of an issue.		The evaluation of information is thorough, taking into account the complexities of an issue, while acknowledging limits and synthesizing other points of view.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Total Score								

Results of Critical Thinking Assessment Pilot (Summer 2015)

	Results of Critical Thinking Assessment Pilot (Summer 2015)									
	Course									
Rating	NUTR1203		ECON2123		HUMAN2223		NURS2118		PSY2113	
Criteria	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Identification	2.80	1.53	3.75	1.66	4.39	1.10	5.25	0.70	4.21	0.70
Collection	3.66	1.83	3.75	1.66	4.57	1.10	5.25	0.46	3.89	0.71
Recognition	2.79	1.41	3.37	1.06	4.25	1.14	4.37	1.18	3.68	1.41
Evaluation	2.70	1.68	4.12	1.24	4.42	1.06	4.75	0.88	3.89	0.71
Communication	2.25	1.48	3.25	1.58	4.46	1.10	4.12	1.35	4.00	0.71
Exploration	1.83	1.20	2.25	1.75	4.25	1.04	2.88	2.35	3.46	1.41
Conclusion	2.62	1.86	3.87	1.64	4.42	1.06	4.87	0.83	3.79	0.71
Total	18.67	9.19	24.38	9.19	30.46	4.95	31.50	4.95	27.00	4.95
Number of assessments	13		4		14		4		14	

MESS HALL

MESS HALL TIME

4077

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4sqBYziYBAs>



REMAINING MISSIONS

QUANTITATIVE & SCIENTIFIC REASONING

REMAINING MISSIONS

CULTURE, GLOBAL
AWARENESS, and SOCIAL
RESPONSIBILITY

SETTING THE SCHEDULE

ASSESSMENT TIMELINE

[http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=Mash+4077+Episodes
&Form=VQFRVP#view=detail&mid=D5758B59F55559168B03D
5758B59F55559168B03](http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=Mash+4077+Episodes&Form=VQFRVP#view=detail&mid=D5758B59F55559168B03D5758B59F55559168B03)

LEARNING GOALS:	ASSESSMENT	TIME OF ASSESSMENT	COURSE ASSESSED
Communication: 1.1 Communicate effectively using listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills 1.2 Develop percision, clarity, and fluency in writing 1.3 Develop accuracy, conciseness in verbal and nonverbal communication 1.4 Demonstrate competency in verbal and nonverbal communication 1.5 Demonstrate logical organization, coherent thinking, and precision in writing 1.6 Use standard English in academic and professional settings	Essay Presentation Rubrics	Spring of even years	ENGL 1213
		Fall of odd years	ENGL 1213
		Spring of odd years	Major Courses
	Oral Communication Rubric	Spring odd years	SPCH 1113
		Spring even years	Major Courses
Critical Thinking: 2.1 Independently identify problems and pose questions 2.2 Gather, read, evaluate and integrate relevant information 2.3 Explore alternative perspectives and their implications 2.4 Draw well reasoned conclusions	Artifact Collection Rubric graded		Major Courses List??
fall or spring???			
Information & Technology Literacy: 3.1 Identify information needs 3.2 locate, evaluate, and appropriately use information 3.3 communicate information using appropriate technologies 3.4 utilize technologies to organize concepts and ideas 3.5 utilize technologies to learn and problem-solve 3.6 Demonstrate an awareness of ethical, legal, and social/cultural responsibilities in the use of information and technology	Northstar Digital Literacy Project	Every Fall	OLS 1111
			CIS 1113
			Major Courses
	Information Literacy Survey	When??	
Quantitative & Scientific Reasoning: 4.1 Describe and delineate the components fo the scientific method 4.2 Apply scietific and mathematical methods to solving problems 4.3 Collect, graph and summarize data and make relevant observations and statements of results and formulate questions 4.4 Evaluate evidence and determine if conclusions based upon data are valid and reliable 4.5 Distinguish sound scientific works from non-scientific works			
Cultural, Global Awareness, and Social Responsibility: 5.1 Display basic knowledge of social, political, economic and historical concepts as they relate to the US 5.2 Identify the responisibilities and choices of involved citizenship 5.3 Examine the global interdependency of humanity 5.4 Explain social and cultural customs within their historical context 5.5 Recognize and assess the significance of cultural and societies and describe the commonalities/differences among cultures from a global perspective			

N I * A * S * H

GOODBYE, FAREWELL, AMEN

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XbrZY4mordU>

4 0 7 7 11 11